arXiv:1611.04157v1 [math.AT] 13 Nov 2016

AN INTEGRAL CHAINS ANALOG OF QUILLEN'S RATIONAL HOMOTOPY THEORY EQUIVALENCE

JACOBSON R. BLOMQUIST AND JOHN E. HARPER

Abstract. We prove that the fundamental adjunction comparing spaces with coalgebra complexes over the associated integral homology comonad, via integral chains, can be turned into an equivalence of homotopy theories by replacing spaces with the full subcategory of 1-connected spaces. This resolves in the affirmative the integral chains problem—to establish an integral chains analog of Quillen's rational chains equivalence in rational homotopy theory; it can be thought of as an integral (dual) analog of Sullivan's rational cochains equivalence in rational homotopy theory.

1. Introduction

After cell decompositions of spaces were introduced to define the invariants of homology, the Betti numbers and torsion coefficients, and after showing these were invariant under subdivision, it was an open problem to show that these numbers were homeomorphism invariants.

After some decades, this was solved by introducing homological algebra: chain complexes to define homology groups, chain mappings associated to continuous maps between spaces to define induced transformations on homology, together with a geometric element—simplicial or cellular approximations leading to chain complex mappings associated to continuous mappings between spaces with cell decompositions.

This was one of the first examples of a non-trivial functor from a new category related to spaces to an algebraic category; the latter being the graded abelian groups of homology and the former being the "new homotopy category" whose objects were cell complexes and whose morphisms were continuous maps up to deformation or homotopy. Equivalences in these categories, homotopy equivalences and induced homology isomorphisms, respectively, were more general than homeomorphisms and the above problem from Poincare's time was solved. Moreover, a remarkable new category was created—the homotopy category.

After realizing that the homotopy category was very amenable to algebraic constructions, one might imagine the possibility of a converse theory where a more enriched functor than homology could actually determine the objects and morphisms of the homotopy category.

Since the fundamental group is part of the information of homotopy theory, and since group theory has many undecidable propositions, this converse dream might well be restricted to simply connected spaces.

In the thirties and forties, researchers enriched the homology story to include the more precise functor on the homotopy category that associated chain complexes to spaces and chain mappings up to chain homotopy to maps of spaces up to homotopy. Moreover on the chain complexes one could find natural coalgebra structures, which

are in a natural sense coassociative and cocommutative up to a contractible space of choices.

The point of this paper is to show that a technical version of this functor, equipped with this higher order homotopy coassociativity and cocommutativity, encoded in a highly homotopy coherent manner, provides an answer to this converse dream to find an enriched homology functor that gives an equivalence of categories between the homotopy category of simply connected spaces and an algebraic category of coalgebra objects.

In the following sections we discuss more of the intervening steps and related work in this direction, but now let us describe the construction of the functor which does the job in more concrete and down to earth terms.

The infinite symmetric product of a sufficiently nice and connected topological space with basepoint can be thought of as a form of abelianization of the space and it enjoys the property that taking its homotopy groups produces the reduced homology groups of the space. This provides a spaces-level model of homology and indicates that the homology groups are really some kind of abelianization of a space; this is reflected in the fact that abelianization of the first homotopy group, or fundamental group, of a space is its first homology group.

Since the homotopical information of a topological space X is captured by the collection of sets $\mathrm{Sing}_n(X)$ consisting of all continuous maps from the topological standard n-simplex Δ^n into X, $n \geq 0$, together with the naturally occurring structure maps, called the singular simplicial set $\mathrm{Sing}(X)$ of X, it seems natural that a particularly nice spaces-level model for the homology of a space would be the corresponding abelianization of the sets making up its singular simplicial set; but abelianization of a set is the free abelian group on the set, and hence this line of thought recovers the original definition of the singular homology complex of a space.

So instead of working with the infinite symmetric product as a spaces-level version of reduced homology, we can instead work with the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}X'_n$ of each set X'_n, where X' is the singular simplicial set of our space X. To get reduced homology, one works with the reduced free abelian group on each pointed set X'_n, denoted $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X'_n := \mathbb{Z}X'_n/\mathbb{Z}*$; this is the abelianization of a pointed set obtained by killing off the free abelian group $\mathbb{Z}*$ on the basepoint $*$.

This spaces-level model $\mathbb{Z}X'$ for homology of X is starting to look a lot like homological algebra. One can now work with this collection of reduced free abelian groups, together with their structure maps, which has the structure of a simplicial abelian group, instead of the infinite symmetric product construction. In the world of simplicial abelian groups, forgetting the abelian group structure down to the underlying simplicial set structure, applying geometric realization to build a corresponding topological space by gluing together topological standard n-simplices Δ^n via the gluing instructions encoded in the simplicial set structure maps, and then taking the n-th homotopy group of the resulting topological space is the same as (i.e., is naturally isomorphic to) taking the n-th homology groups of the associated chain complex constructed from the simplicial abelian group by taking alternating sums of the face maps.

The idea underlying this paper, and the earlier work using these constructions, is that since the reduced free abelian group functor $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ satisfies a universal property on pointed sets, and hence on pointed simplicial sets as well, one can use this universal

property to construct a natural resolution of the simplicial set X', or any simplicial set weakly equivalent to it, with respect to the reduced free abelian group functor $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$, just like in homological algebra when building canonical projective resolutions of modules over the integers. These naturally occurring resolutions are encoding, in a highly coherent manner, a canonical coalgebraic structure that exists by virtue of the universal property of the $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ construction.

In this paper we show that the coalgebraic structure enjoyed by the reduced free abelian group construction $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X'$ on a pointed simplicial set X', encodes within it the homotopy theory of simply connected spaces, provided that we appropriately fatten up "all constructions in sight" to be homotopy meaningful; for instance, limits of certain diagrams are fattened up and replaced by homotopy limits of these diagrams, which are the closest homotopy meaningful approximations to the limit construction. Since limits of diagrams can be modeled by the set of homomorphisms out of a constant diagram with value a point, this usually amounts to replacing a constant diagram with value a one-point space with a diagram of "fat points" such as the diagram of topological standard n-simplices Δ^n, $n \geq 0$, equipped with their structure maps; this fattening up process is just like the familiar process of first replacing a module in homological algebra with one of its projective resolutions, before tensoring, if one needs tensoring with it to preserve induced homology isomorphisms and hence be homotopy meaningful.

One of the ideas underlying this paper is to use the naturally occurring coalgebraic structure that already exists on the reduced free abelian group of a pointed simplicial set, as the natural candidate for a spaces-level model for reduced homology of a space that is naturally equipped with a coalgebraic structure.

This paper is written simplicially so that "space" means "simplicial set" unless otherwise noted; see, for instance, Bousfield-Kan [8], Dundas-Goodwillie-McCarthy [16], Dwyer-Henn [19], Goerss-Jardine [25], and Hovey [33].

1.1. **The spaces-level Hurewicz map.** If X is a pointed space, the usual Hurewicz map between homotopy groups and reduced homology groups has the form

$$\pi_*(X) \to \tilde{H}_*(X;\mathbb{Z}). \tag{1.2}$$

The starting point of the work in Bousfield-Kan [8] is essentially the observation that this comparison map comes from a spaces-level Hurewicz map of the form

$$X \to \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \tag{1.3}$$

and that applying π_* recovers the map (1.2).

1.4. **Iterating the Hurewicz map to build a resolution.** Once one has such a Hurewicz map on the level of spaces, it is natural to form a cosimplicial resolution of X with respect to integral homology of the form

$$X \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \rightrightarrows \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^2(X) \;\substack{\rightarrow\\ \rightarrow\\ \rightarrow}\; \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}^3(X) \cdots \tag{1.5}$$

showing only the coface maps. The codegeneracy maps, not shown above, are induced by the counit $\mathsf{K} \to \mathrm{id}$ of the associated integral homology comonad (or cotriple) K that can be thought of as encoding the spaces-level co-operations on the integral homology complexes; compare with Miller [42, Section 1] in the context of the Sullivan conjecture.

In other words, by iterating the spaces-level Hurewicz map (1.3), Bousfield-Kan [8] build a cosimplicial resolution of X with respect to integral homology, and taking the homotopy limit of the resolution (1.5) produces the $\mathbb{Z}$-completion map

$$X \to X^{\wedge}_{\mathbb{Z}} \tag{1.6}$$

which can be interpreted in the case of 1-connected or nilpotent spaces as the localization of X with respect to integral homology. This is the integral analog of the completions and localizations of spaces originally studied in Sullivan [47, 48], and subsequently in Bousfield-Kan [8] and Hilton-Mislin-Roitberg [30]; there is an extensive literature—for a useful introduction, see also Bousfield [6], Dwyer [18], and May-Ponto [39]; a transfinite version of "iterating the Hurewicz map" is studied in Dror-Dwyer [13].

1.7. **The main result.** In this paper we shall prove the following theorem, resolving in the affirmative the integral chains problem—to establish an integral chains analog of Quillen's [44] rational chains equivalence in rational homotopy theory; it can be thought of as an integral (dual) analog of Sullivan's [49] rational cochains equivalence in rational homotopy theory; compare Bousfield-Gugenheim [7] for their subsequent adjoint functor approach to Sullivan's theory using methods of Quillen's model categories. Since this foundational work of Quillen and Sullivan, the problem of establishing p-adic and fully integral versions of the rational chains equivalence theorem (or its dual) have been under attack or sought after in the work of Dwyer-Hopkins [20], Goerss [24], Karoubi [34], Kriz [35], Mandell [36, 37], and Smirnov [46]. It is interesting that the approach that ultimately enabled us to establish the integral chains equivalence is closest in spirit to the simplicial chains setup originally studied in Goerss [24] for field coefficients.

Theorem 1.8. *The fundamental adjunction* (1.9)*, comparing pointed spaces to coalgebra complexes over the associated integral homology comonad* $\mathsf{K} = \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}U$

$$\mathsf{S}_* \underset{\lim_{\Delta} C}{\overset{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}} \tag{1.9}$$

via integral chains $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$*, induces a derived adjunction of the form*

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, Y) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, \mathrm{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y))$$

that is an equivalence of homotopy theories, after restriction to the full subcategories of 1*-connected spaces and* 1*-connected cofibrant* K*-coalgebras. More precisely:*

(a) *If* Y *is a* 1*-connected cofibrant* K*-coalgebra, then the derived counit map*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\,\mathrm{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y$$

associated to the fundamental adjunction (1.9) *is a weak equivalence; i.e., the integral chains functor* $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ *in* (1.9) *is homotopically essentially surjective on* 1*-connected coalgebra complexes over* K*.*

(b) *If* X' *is a* 1*-connected space, then the derived unit map*

$$X' \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{holim}_{\Delta} C(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X')$$

associated to the fundamental adjunction (1.9) *is tautologically the Bousfield-Kan* $\mathbb{Z}$*-completion map* $X' \to X'^{\wedge}_{\mathbb{Z}}$*, and hence is a weak equivalence by* [8]*;*

in particular, the integral chains functor induces a weak equivalence

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\colon\ \mathrm{Map}^h_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, X') \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X') \tag{1.10}$$

on mapping spaces and hence is homotopically fully faithful on 1*-connected spaces.*

Remark 1.11. Here, the realization of the Dwyer-Kan [21] homotopy function complex, denoted $\mathrm{Map}^h_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, X')$, can be replaced with the realization of the usual mapping complex, denoted $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, X')$, if X' is fibrant in S_*.

1.12. **Corollaries of the main result.** The following are corollaries of the main result (Theorem 1.8). Integral cochains versions of the first two results below were previously established by Mandell [37, 0.1], assuming additional finite type conditions; an interesting approach to some of the integral cochains results in [37] was subsequently developed in Karoubi [34]. In Mandell's setup, it is shown that the integral cochains functor cannot be full on the homotopy category; this is in sharp contrast to our coalgebraic setup where the integral chains functor is both homotopically fully faithful and homotopically essentially surjective, exactly as in the original rational equivalence results of Quillen [44] and Sullivan [49].

Theorem 1.13 (Classification theorem)**.** *A pair of* 1*-connected pointed spaces X and X' are weakly equivalent if and only if the integral chains $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X'$ are weakly equivalent as derived* K*-coalgebras.*

The following theorem shows that integral homology complexes do more than distinguish equivalence classes of pointed spaces, they also distinguish equivalence classes of maps.

Theorem 1.14 (Classification of maps theorem)**.** *Let X, X' be pointed spaces. Assume that X' is* 1*-connected and fibrant.*

(a) (Existence) *Given any map ϕ in $[\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X']_{\mathsf{K}}$, there exists a map f in $[X, X']$ such that $\phi = \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(f)$.*
(b) (Uniqueness) *For each pair of maps f, g in $[X, X']$, $f = g$ if and only if $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(f) = \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(g)$ in the homotopy category of* K*-coalgebras.*

The following theorem provides a characterization of when a K-coalgebra arises, up to a zigzag of derived K-coalgebra weak equivalences, as the integral chains of a pointed space.

Theorem 1.15 (Characterization theorem)**.** *A cofibrant* K*-coalgebra Y is weakly equivalent, via derived* K*-coalgebra maps, to the integral chains $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X$ of some* 1*-connected space X if and only if Y is* 1*-connected.*

1.16. **Strategy of attack.** We are motivated by the insight appearing in Goerss [24], and subsequently underlying the ideas in Francis-Gaitsgory [23] and Hess [29], that the completion map should be the derived unit map of a comparison adjunction between spaces and coalgebraic data on complexes.

Our attack strategy is to leverage the higher dual Blakers-Massey theorem in Goodwillie [26, 2.6], together with the "uniformity of faces" behavior forced by the cosimplicial identities via existence of appropriate retractions, along with strong estimates for the uniform cartesian-ness of iterations of the Hurewicz map from

Dundas' higher Hurewicz theorem, to obtain connectivity estimates for commuting the left derived chains functor past the right derived limit of the associated cosimplicial cobar construction on coalgebra complexes.

In a nutshell, we are essentially leveraging a line of attack recently developed in [12] for resolving the 0-connected case of the Francis-Gaitsgory conjecture [23], together with a new approach for exploiting uniform cartesian-ness estimates, to show that the Bousfield-Kan $\mathbb{Z}$-completion map participates in a derived equivalence between spaces and coalgebra complexes over the associated integral homology comonad K, after restricting to 1-connected spaces.

1.17. **Commuting integral chains with holim of a cobar construction.** Once the framework is setup, our main result boils down to proving our main technical theorem that the left derived integral chains functor $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ commutes,

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y) \simeq \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}} C(Y) \tag{1.18}$$

up to weak equivalence, with the right derived limit functor $\operatorname{holim}_{\Delta}$, when composed with the cosimplicial cobar construction C associated to integral homology and evaluated on 1-connected coalgebra complexes over K.

1.19. **Organization of the paper.** In Section 2 we outline the argument of our main result. The proof naturally breaks up into four subsidiary results. In Section 3 we review the fundamental adjunction and then prove the main result. Sections 4 and 5 are background sections; for the convenience of the reader we briefly recall some preliminaries on simplicial structures and homotopy limits that are essential to understanding this paper. In Section 6 we setup the homotopy theory of K-coalgebras and in Section 7 we setup the associated derived fundamental adjunction; both play a central role in the statement and proof of our main result. For the experts, it will suffice to read Sections 2 and 3 for a complete proof of the main result.

Acknowledgments. The authors would like to thank to Michael Ching for useful discussions throughout this project, and Dennis Sullivan for detailed suggestions on an early draft of this paper. The second author would like to thank Bill Dwyer, Bjorn Dundas, Haynes Miller, and Crichton Ogle for useful remarks, and Mark Behrens, Dan Burghelea, Lars Hesselholt, Mike Mandell, and Nath Rao for helpful comments. The second author is grateful to Haynes Miller for a stimulating and enjoyable visit to the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in early spring 2015, and to Bjorn Dundas for a stimulating and enjoyable visit to the University of Bergen in late spring 2015, and for their invitations which made this possible. The first author was supported in part by National Science Foundation grant DMS-1510640.

2. Outline of the argument

We will now outline the proof of our main result (Theorem 1.8). Since the derived unit map is tautologically the Bousfield-Kan $\mathbb{Z}$-completion map $X' \to X'^{\wedge}_{\mathbb{Z}}$, which is proved to be a weak equivalence on 1-connected spaces in Bousfield-Kan [8], proving the main result reduces to verifying that the derived counit map is a weak equivalence. Our attack strategy naturally breaks up into four subsidiary results; Theorems 2.1, 2.3, 2.6, and 2.8.

The following theorem proved in Section 3.9 gives the connectivity estimates that we need.

Theorem 2.1. *If Y is a 1-connected cofibrant K-coalgebra and $n \geq 1$, then the natural map*

$$\operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n}} C(Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n-1}} C(Y) \tag{2.2}$$

is an $(n+2)$-connected map between 1-connected objects.

Theorem 2.3. *If Y is a 1-connected cofibrant K-coalgebra and $n \geq 0$, then the natural maps*

$$\operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n}} C(Y) \tag{2.4}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n}} C(Y) \tag{2.5}$$

are $(n+3)$-connected maps between 1-connected objects.

Proof. Consider the first part. By Theorem 2.1 each of the maps in the holim tower $\{\operatorname{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n}} C(Y)\}_n$, above level n, is at least $(n+3)$-connected. It follows that the map (2.4) is $(n+3)$-connected. The second part follows from the first part, since by the Hurewicz theorem the integral chains functor $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ preserves such connectivities. □

The following theorem proved in Section 3.9 gives the connectivity estimates that we need. At the technical heart of the proof lies the higher dual Blakers-Massey theorem in Goodwillie [26, 2.6]. To carry out this line of attack, the input to [26, 2.6] requires the homotopical analysis of an ∞-cartesian $(n+1)$-cube associated to the n-th stage, $\operatorname{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n}} C(Y)$, of the holim tower associated to $C(Y)$; it is built from coface maps in $C(Y)$. The needed homotopical analysis is worked out in Section 3.9 by leveraging the strong uniform cartesian-ness estimates for iterations of the Hurewicz map, applied to $X = UY$, in Dundas' higher Hurewicz theorem, together with the "uniformity of faces" behavior forced by the cosimplicial identities.

Theorem 2.6. *If Y is a 1-connected cofibrant K-coalgebra and $n \geq 1$, then the natural map*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n}} C(Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n}} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\, C(Y), \tag{2.7}$$

is $(n+5)$-connected; the map is a weak equivalence for $n = 0$.

The following is a corollary of these connectivity estimates, together with a left cofinality argument in Dror-Dwyer [13].

Theorem 2.8. *If Y is a 1-connected cofibrant K-coalgebra, then the natural maps*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\, C(Y) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y \tag{2.9}$$

are weak equivalences.

Proof. Consider the left-hand map. It suffices to verify that the connectivities of the natural maps (2.5) and (2.7) are strictly increasing with n, and Theorems 2.3 and 2.6 complete the proof. Consider the case of the right-hand map. Since the cosimplicial cobar construction $\operatorname{Cobar}(\mathsf{K}, \mathsf{K}, Y)$, which is isomorphic to $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\, C(Y)$, has

extra codegeneracy maps s^{-1} (Dwyer-Miller-Neisendorfer [22, 6.2]), it follows from the cofinality argument in Dror-Dwyer [13, 3.16], together with the fact that

$$Y \xrightarrow{d^0} \mathrm{Cobar}(\mathsf{K},\mathsf{K},Y) \xrightarrow{s^{-1}} Y$$

factors the identity, that the right-hand map in (2.9) is a weak equivalence. □

Proof of Theorem 1.8. We want to verify that the natural map

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\,\mathrm{holim}_{\Delta}\, C(Y) \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y$$

is a weak equivalence; since this is the composite (2.9), Theorem 2.8 completes the proof; compare with Remark 7.19. □

3. Homotopical analysis of the fundamental adjunction

The purpose of this section is to recall the fundamental adjunction and to prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.6.

3.1. **Integral chains and the fundamental adjunction.** The fundamental adjunction naturally arises by observing that $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ is equipped with a coaction over the comonad K that appears in the Bousfield-Kan $\mathbb{Z}$-completion construction; this observation, which remains true for any adjunction provided that the indicated limits below exist, forms the basis of the homotopical descent ideas appearing in Hess [29] and subsequently in Francis-Gaitsgory [23].

Consider any pointed space X and recall that $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X) := \mathbb{Z}(X)/\mathbb{Z}(*)$. Then there is an adjunction

$$\mathsf{S}_* \underset{U}{\overset{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{sAb} \tag{3.2}$$

with left adjoint on top and U the forgetful functor. Associated to the adjunction in (3.2) is the monad $U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ on pointed spaces S_* and the comonad $\mathsf{K} := \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}U$ on simplicial abelian groups sAb of the form

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{id} \xrightarrow{\eta} U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{(unit)}, && \mathrm{id} \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} \mathsf{K} \quad \text{(counit)},\\ &U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \to U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \text{(multiplication)}, && \mathsf{KK} \xleftarrow{m} \mathsf{K} \quad \text{(comultiplication)}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

and it follows formally that there is a factorization of adjunctions of the form

$$\mathsf{S}_* \underset{\lim_{\Delta} C}{\overset{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}} \underset{\mathsf{K}}{\rightleftarrows} \mathsf{sAb} \tag{3.4}$$

with left adjoints on top and $\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}} \to \mathsf{sAb}$ the forgetful functor. In particular, the integral homology complex $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X$ is naturally equipped with a K-coalgebra structure. While we defer the definition of C to the next subsection (Definition 3.7), to understand the comparison in (3.4) between S_* and $\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}$ it suffices to know that $\lim_{\Delta} C(Y)$ is naturally isomorphic to an equalizer of the form

$$\lim_{\Delta} C(Y) \cong \lim\Big(UY \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightrightarrows}} U\mathsf{K}Y \Big)$$

where $d^0 = m\mathrm{id}$, $d^1 = \mathrm{id}m$, $m\colon U \to U\mathsf{K} = U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}U$ denotes the K-coaction map on U (defined by $m := \eta\mathrm{id}$), and $m\colon Y \to \mathsf{K}Y$ denotes the K-coaction map on Y; this is because of the following property of cosimplicial objects (see Definition 3.7).

Proposition 3.5. *Let* M *be a category with all small limits. If* $X \in \mathsf{M}^{\Delta}$ *(resp.* $Y \in \mathsf{M}^{\Delta_{\mathrm{res}}}$*), then its limit is naturally isomorphic to an equalizer of the form*

$$\lim_{\Delta} X \cong \lim\big(X^0 \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightrightarrows}} X^1 \big) \qquad \Big(\textit{resp.} \quad \lim_{\Delta_{\mathrm{res}}} Y \cong \lim\big(Y^0 \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightrightarrows}} Y^1 \big)\Big)$$

in M*, with* d^0 *and* d^1 *the indicated coface maps of* X *(resp.* Y*).*

Proof. This follows easily by using the cosimplicial identities [25, I.1] to verify the universal property of limits. □

3.6. **The cosimplicial cobar construction.** It will be useful to interpret the cosimplicial integral homology resolution of X in terms of the following cosimplicial cobar construction involving the comonad K on sAb. First note that associated to the adjunction $(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}, U)$ is a right K-coaction $m\colon U \to U\mathsf{K}$ on U (defined by $m := \eta\mathrm{id}$) and a left K-coaction (or K-coalgebra structure) $m\colon \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X \to \mathsf{K}\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X$ on $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X$ (defined by $m = \mathrm{id}\eta\mathrm{id}$), for any $X \in \mathsf{S}_*$.

Definition 3.7. Let Y be an object in $\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}$. The *cosimplicial cobar construction* (or two-sided cosimplicial cobar construction) $C(Y) := \mathrm{Cobar}(U, \mathsf{K}, Y)$ looks like (showing only the coface maps)

$$C(Y)\colon \qquad UY \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightrightarrows}} U\mathsf{K}Y \;\substack{\rightarrow\\ \rightarrow\\ \rightarrow}\; U\mathsf{K}\mathsf{K}Y \cdots \tag{3.8}$$

and is defined objectwise by $C(Y)^n := U\mathsf{K}^n Y$ with the obvious coface and codegeneracy maps; see, for instance, the face and degeneracy maps in the simplicial bar constructions described in Gugenheim-May [28, A.1] or May [38, Section 7], and dualize. For instance, in (3.8) the indicated coface maps are defined by $d^0 := m\mathrm{id}$ and $d^1 := \mathrm{id}m$.

3.9. **Connectivity estimates, cofinality, and cubical diagrams.** The purpose of this section is to prove Theorems 2.1 and 2.6 that provide the estimates we need. The following definitions and constructions appear in Goodwillie [26] in the context of spaces.

Definition 3.10 (Indexing categories for cubical diagrams)**.** Let W be a finite set and M a category.

- Denote by $\mathcal{P}(W)$ the poset of all subsets of W, ordered by inclusion $\subset$ of sets. We will often regard $\mathcal{P}(W)$ as the category associated to this partial order in the usual way; the objects are the elements of $\mathcal{P}(W)$, and there is a morphism $U \to V$ if and only if $U \subset V$.
- Denote by $\mathcal{P}_0(W) \subset \mathcal{P}(W)$ the poset of all nonempty subsets of W; it is the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(W)$ containing all objects except the initial object $\emptyset$.
- A *W-cube* $\mathcal{X}$ in M is a $\mathcal{P}(W)$-shaped diagram $\mathcal{X}$ in M; in other words, a functor $\mathcal{X}\colon \mathcal{P}(W) \to \mathsf{M}$.

Remark 3.11. If $\mathcal{X}$ is a W-cube in M where $|W| = n$, we will sometimes refer to $\mathcal{X}$ simply as an *n-cube* in M. In particular, a 0-cube is an object in M and a 1-cube is a morphism in M.

Definition 3.12 (Faces of cubical diagrams)**.** Let W be a finite set and M a category. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a W-cube in M and consider any subsets $U \subset V \subset W$. Denote

by $\partial_U^V \mathcal{X}$ the $(V-U)$-cube defined objectwise by

$$T \mapsto (\partial_U^V \mathcal{X})_T := \mathcal{X}_{T \cup U}, \qquad T \subset V - U.$$

In other words, $\partial_U^V \mathcal{X}$ is the $(V-U)$-cube formed by all maps in $\mathcal{X}$ between $\mathcal{X}_U$ and $\mathcal{X}_V$. We say that $\partial_U^V \mathcal{X}$ is a *face* of $\mathcal{X}$ of *dimension* $|V-U|$.

Definition 3.13. Let $f\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a function and W a finite set. A W-cube $\mathcal{X}$ is *f-cartesian* (resp. *f-cocartesian*) if each d-subcube of $\mathcal{X}$ is $f(d)$-cartesian (resp. $f(d)$-cocartesian); here, $\mathbb{N}$ denotes the non-negative integers.

The following is Dundas' higher Hurewicz theorem. It provides an alternate proof, with stronger estimates, of the result in Bousfield-Kan [8] that the $\mathbb{Z}$-completion map $X \to X^\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a weak equivalence for any 1-connected space X and will play a key role in our homotopical analysis of the derived counit map below.

Proposition 3.14 (Higher Hurewicz theorem)**.** *Let $k \geq 2$. If $\mathcal{X}$ is an $(\mathrm{id}+k)$-cartesian cube of spaces, then so is $\mathcal{X} \to U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. This is proved in Dundas [15]; see also the subsequent elaboration in Dundas-Goodwillie-McCarthy [16]. □

Munson-Volic [43] have pointed out that the following observation provides a useful building block for proving, with minimal effort, several useful propositions.

Proposition 3.15. *Consider any 2-cube $\mathcal{X}$ of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{d} & Y \\ \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle s} \\ X & = & X \end{array}$$

in S_*; in other words, suppose s is a retraction of d. There are natural weak equivalences $\mathrm{hofib}(d) \simeq \Omega\,\mathrm{hofib}(s)$.

Proof. This follows by calculating the iterated homotopy fibers of $\mathcal{X}$ in two different ways, by starting in the horizontal direction versus the vertical direction. □

The following calculation points out the homotopical significance of the codegeneracy n-cubes $\mathcal{Y}_n$ associated to a cosimplicial pointed space. This is proved in Bousfield-Kan [8, X.6.3] for the Tot tower of a Reedy fibrant cosimplicial pointed space.

Proposition 3.16. *Let Z be a cosimplicial pointed space and $n \geq 0$. There are natural zigzags of weak equivalences*

$$\mathrm{hofib}(\operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n}} Z \to \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n-1}} Z) \simeq \Omega^n(\text{iterated hofib})\mathcal{Y}_n$$

where $\mathcal{Y}_n$ denotes the canonical n-cube built from the codegeneracy maps of

$$Z^0 \xleftarrow{s^0} Z^1 \underset{s^1}{\overset{s^0}{\leftleftarrows}} Z^2 \;\cdots\; Z^n$$

the n-truncation of Z; in particular, $\mathcal{Y}_0$ is the object (or 0-cube) Z^0. We often refer to $\mathcal{Y}_n$ as the codegeneracy n-cube *associated to Z.*

Remark 3.17. It is important to note that the total homotopy fiber of an n-cube of pointed spaces is weakly equivalent to its iterated homotopy fiber, and in this paper we use the terms interchangeably; we use the convention that the iterated homotopy fiber of a 0-cube $\mathcal{Y}$ (or object $\mathcal{Y}_\emptyset$) is the homotopy fiber of the unique map $\mathcal{Y}_\emptyset \to *$ and hence is weakly equivalent to $\mathcal{Y}_\emptyset$.

The following, which enables higher cubical diagram analysis, is proved in Carlsson [10, Section 6], Dugger [14], and Sinha [45, 6.7], and plays a key role in this paper; see also Dundas-Goodwillie-McCarthy [16] and Munson-Volic [43]. It has been a kind of secret weapon in homotopy theory; for instance, it is exploited in Hopkins [32] thesis work.

Proposition 3.18. *Let $n \geq 0$. The composite*

$$\mathcal{P}_0([n]) \cong P\Delta[n] \longrightarrow \Delta^{\leq n}_{\mathsf{res}} \subset \Delta^{\leq n}$$

is left cofinal (i.e., homotopy initial). Here, $\mathcal{P}_0([n])$ denotes the poset of all nonempty subsets of $[n]$; this notation agrees with Goodwillie [26].

Remark 3.19. Note that $\mathcal{P}_0([n]) \cong P\Delta[n]$ the poset of non-degenerate simplices of the standard n-simplex $\Delta[n]$ (see Goerss-Jardine [25, III.4]); this poset naturally arises as the category whose nerve is the subdivision $\mathrm{sd}\Delta[n]$ of $\Delta[n]$ and applying realization recovers the usual barycentric subdivision of Δ^n.

Proposition 3.20. *If $X \in \mathsf{M}^\Delta$ is objectwise fibrant, then the natural maps*

$$\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta^{\leq n}} X \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{P\Delta[n]} X \cong \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\mathcal{P}_0([n])} X$$

in M are weak equivalences.

Definition 3.21. Let $Z \in (\mathsf{S}_*)^\Delta$ and $n \geq 0$. Assume that Z is objectwise fibrant and denote by $Z\colon\ \mathcal{P}_0([n]) \to \mathsf{S}_*$ the composite

$$\mathcal{P}_0([n]) \to \Delta^{\leq n} \to \Delta \to \mathsf{S}_*$$

The *associated ∞-cartesian $(n+1)$-cube built from Z*, denoted $\widetilde{Z}\colon\ \mathcal{P}([n]) \to \mathsf{S}_*$, is defined objectwise by

$$\widetilde{Z}_V := \begin{cases} \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{T \neq \emptyset} Z_T, & \text{for } V = \emptyset, \\ Z_V, & \text{for } V \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

It is important to note (Proposition 3.20) that there are natural weak equivalences

$$\mathrm{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n}} Z \simeq \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{T \neq \emptyset} Z_T = \widetilde{Z}_\emptyset$$

in S_*.

Proposition 3.22 (Uniformity of faces)**.** *Let $Z \in (\mathsf{S}_*)^\Delta$ and $n \geq 0$. Assume that Z is objectwise fibrant. Let $\emptyset \neq T \subset [n]$ and $t \in T$. Then there is a weak equivalence*

$$(\text{iterated hofib})\partial^T_{\{t\}} \widetilde{Z} \simeq \Omega^{|T|-1}(\text{iterated hofib})\mathcal{Y}_{|T|-1}$$

in S_*, where $\mathcal{Y}_{|T|-1}$ denotes the codegeneracy $(|T|-1)$-cube associated to Z.

Remark 3.23. We will exploit Proposition 3.22 below by taking $Z = C(Y)$ for $Y \in \mathsf{coAlg}_\mathsf{K}$ cofibrant and 1-connected.

Proof. This is proved in [11]; compare Goodwillie [27, 3.4] and Sinha [45, 7.2]. It follows easily from the cosimplicial identities that $\partial^T_{\{t\}}\widetilde{Z}$ is connected to $Y_{|T|-1}$ by a sequence of retractions, built from codegeneracy maps; repeated application of Proposition 3.15 finishes the proof. □

Theorem 3.24. *Let $Y \in \mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}$ be cofibrant and $n \geq 1$. Consider the ∞-cartesian $(n+1)$-cube $\widetilde{C(Y)}$ in S_* built from $C(Y)$. If Y is 1-connected, then*

(a) *the cube $\widetilde{C(Y)}$ is $(2n+5)$-cocartesian in S_*,*
(b) *the cube $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widetilde{C(Y)}$ is $(2n+5)$-cocartesian in sAb,*
(c) *the cube $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widetilde{C(Y)}$ is $(n+5)$-cartesian in sAb.*

Proof. Consider part (a) and let $W = [n]$. Our strategy is to use the higher dual Blakers-Massey theorem in Goodwillie [26, 2.6] to estimate how close the W-cube $\widetilde{C(Y)}$ in S_* is to being cocartesian. We know from Dundas' higher Hurewicz theorem, Proposition 3.14, on iterations of the Hurewicz map applied to UY, together with the uniformity enforced by Proposition 3.22, that for each nonempty subset $V \subset W$, the V-cube $\partial^W_{W-V}\widetilde{C(Y)}$ is $(|V|+2)$-cartesian; since it is ∞-cartesian by construction when $V = W$, it follows immediately from Goodwillie [26, 2.6] that $\widetilde{C(Y)}$ is $(2n+5)$-cocartesian in S_*, which finishes the proof of part (a). Part (b) follows from the fact that $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\colon \mathsf{S}_* \to \mathsf{sAb}$ is a left Quillen functor together with the fact that $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ preserves connectivity of maps between 1-connected spaces. Part (c) follows easily from the fact that sAb and $\mathsf{Ch}_{\geq 0}(\mathbb{Z})$ are Quillen equivalent via the normalization functor and that the homotopy groups of a simplicial abelian group are naturally isomorphic to their associated homology groups as chain complexes, together with the obvious chain complex analog of [12, 3.10]. □

Remark 3.25. For instance, in the case $n = 2$, the 3-cube $\widetilde{C(Y)}$ of pointed spaces has the form of the left-hand commutative diagram



and the associated 3-cube $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widetilde{C(Y)}$ in simplicial abelian groups has the form of the right-hand commutative diagram; here, we have dropped the forgetful functor U for notational convenience. The natural comparison map

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq 2}} C(Y) \to \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq 2}} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}C(Y) \tag{3.26}$$

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widetilde{C(Y)}_\emptyset \to \operatorname*{holim}_{T\neq\emptyset} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\widetilde{C(Y)}_T \tag{3.27}$$

of the form (3.26) is the map (3.27).

Proof of Theorem 2.6. We want to estimate how connected the comparison map

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n}} C(Y) \longrightarrow \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta^{\leq n}} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\, C(Y),$$

is, which is equivalent to estimating how cartesian $\widetilde{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}C(Y)}$ is; Theorem 3.24(c) completes the proof. □

The following proposition gives the connectivity estimates that we need.

Proposition 3.28. *Let Y be a cofibrant K-coalgebra and $n \geq 1$. Denote by $\mathcal{Y}_n$ the the codegeneracy n-cube associated to the cosimplicial cobar construction $C(Y)$ of Y. If Y is 1-connected, then the total homotopy fiber of $\mathcal{Y}_n$ is $(2n+1)$-connected.*

Proof. This follows immediately from the proof of Theorem 3.24, together with Proposition 3.22. □

Proof of Theorem 2.1. The homotopy fiber of the map (2.2) is weakly equivalent to Ω^n of the total homotopy fiber of the codegeneracy n-cube $\mathcal{Y}_n$ associated to $C(Y)$ by Proposition 3.16, hence by Proposition 3.28 the map (2.2) is $(n+2)$-connected. □

3.29. **Strong convergence for the** $\operatorname{holim}_\Delta C(Y)$ **spectral sequence.** The following strong convergence result for the homotopy spectral sequence (see [8, 25]) associated to the cosimplicial cobar construction $C(Y)$ of a K-coalgebra Y is a corollary of the connectivity estimates in Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 3.30. *If Y is a 1-connected cofibrant K-coalgebra, then the homotopy spectral sequence*

$$E^2_{-s,t} = \pi^s \pi_t C(Y) \Longrightarrow \pi_{t-s} \operatorname{holim}_\Delta C(Y)$$

converges strongly (Remark 3.31); compare with [11].

Proof. This follows from the connectivity estimates in Theorem 2.1. □

Remark 3.31. By *strong convergence* of $\{E^r\}$ to $\pi_* \operatorname{holim}_\Delta C(Y)$ we mean that (i) for each $(-s,t)$, there exists an r such that $E^r_{-s,t} = E^\infty_{-s,t}$ and (ii) for each i, $E^\infty_{-s,s+i} = 0$ except for finitely many s. Strong convergence implies that for each i, $\{E^\infty_{-s,s+i}\}$ is the set of filtration quotients from a finite filtration of $\pi_i \operatorname{holim}_\Delta C(Y)$; see, for instance, Bousfield-Kan [8, IV.5.6, IX.5.3, IX.5.4] and Dwyer [17].

This is the homotopy spectral sequence associated to the cosimplicial cobar construction (3.7); it generalizes to K-coalgebra complexes the unstable Adams spectral sequence of a space; see Bousfield-Kan [9], and the subsequent work of Bendersky-Curtis-Miller [4] and Bendersky-Thompson [5].

4. Background on simplicial structures

In this section we recall the simplicial structure on pointed spaces and simplicial abelian groups and study how they mesh with the fundamental adjunction; the expert may wish to skim through, or skip entirely, this background section.

Definition 4.1. Let X, X' be pointed spaces and K a simplicial set. The *tensor product* $X \dot{\otimes} K$ in S_*, *mapping space* $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, X')$ in sSet, and *mapping object* $\mathbf{hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(K, X)$ in S_* are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} X \dot{\otimes} K &:= X \wedge K_+ \\ \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, X')_n &:= \hom_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X \dot{\otimes} \Delta[n], X') \\ \mathbf{hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(K, X')_n &:= \hom_{\mathsf{S}_*}(K_+ \dot{\otimes} \Delta[n], X') \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(K, X')$ is pointed by the constant map.

Definition 4.2. Let Y, Y' be simplicial abelian groups and K a simplicial set. The *tensor product* $Y \dot{\otimes} K$ in sAb, *mapping space* $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, Y')$ in sSet, and *mapping object* $\mathbf{hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(K, Y)$ in sAb are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} Y \dot{\otimes} K &:= Y \otimes \mathbb{Z}K \\ \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, Y')_n &:= \hom_{sAb}(Y \dot{\otimes} \Delta[n], Y') \\ \mathbf{hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(K, Y')_n &:= \hom_{sAb}(\mathbb{Z}K \dot{\otimes} \Delta[n], Y') \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathbf{hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(K, Y')$ inherits the usual abelian group structure from Y'.

For ease of notation purposes, we sometimes drop the S_* and sAb decorations from the notation and simply write **Hom** and **hom**.

Proposition 4.3. *With the above definitions of mapping object, tensor product, and mapping space the categories of pointed spaces S_* and simplicial abelian groups sAb are simplicial model categories.*

Proof. This is proved, for instance, in Goerss-Jardine [25, II.3]. □

Remark 4.4. Let M denote either S_* or sAb. In particular, there are isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \hom_{\mathsf{M}}(X \dot{\otimes} K, X') &\cong \hom_{\mathsf{M}}(X, \mathbf{hom}(K, X')) \\ &\cong \hom_{\mathsf{sSet}}(K, \mathbf{Hom}(X, X')) \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

in Set, natural in X, K, X', that extend to isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{M}}(X \dot{\otimes} K, X') &\cong \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{M}}(X, \mathbf{hom}(K, X')) \\ &\cong \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sSet}}(K, \mathbf{Hom}(X, X')) \end{aligned}$$

in sSet, natural in X, K, X'.

4.6. **Simplicial natural transformations.** Recall that the free-forgetful adjunction, whose unit is the spaces-level Hurewicz map,

$$\mathsf{S}_* \underset{U}{\overset{\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{sAb} \tag{4.7}$$

is a Quillen adjunction with left adjoint on top and U the forgetful functor; in particular, for $X \in \mathsf{S}_*$ and $Y \in \mathsf{sAb}$ there is an isomorphism

$$\hom_{\mathsf{sAb}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, Y) \cong \hom_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, UY) \tag{4.8}$$

in Set, natural in X, Y.

The following proposition, which is proved in Goerss-Jardine [25, II.2.9], is fundamental to this paper. It verifies that the free-forgetful adjunction (4.7) meshes nicely with the simplicial structure.

Proposition 4.9. *Let X be a pointed space, Y a simplicial abelian group, and K, L simplicial sets. Then*

(a) *there is a natural isomorphism $\sigma\colon \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X) \dot{\otimes} K \xrightarrow{\cong} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X \dot{\otimes} K)$;*
(b) *there is an isomorphism*

$$\mathbf{Hom}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, Y) \cong \mathbf{Hom}(X, UY)$$

in sSet, natural in X, Y, that extends the adjunction isomorphism in (4.8)*;*

(c) *there is an isomorphism*

$$U\,\mathbf{hom}(K,Y) \cong \mathbf{hom}(K,UY)$$

in S_**, natural in* K, Y*.*

(d) *there is a natural map* $\sigma\colon U(Y)\dot{\otimes}K \to U(Y\dot{\otimes}K)$ *induced by* U*.*

(e) *the functors* $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ *and* U *are simplicial functors (Remark 4.10) with the structure maps* σ *of (a) and (d), respectively.*

Remark 4.10. For a useful reference on simplicial functors in the context of homotopy theory, see Hirschhorn [31, 9.8.5].

Recall the following notion of a simplicial natural transformation (see Goerss-Jardine [25, IX.1]); note that evaluating diagram (4.12) at simplicial degree 0 recovers the usual naturality condition required for a collection of maps $\tau_X\colon FX \to GX$, $X \in \mathsf{M}$, to define a natural transformation of the form $\tau\colon F \to G$.

Definition 4.11. Let M, M' be simplicial model categories. Let $F, G\colon \mathsf{M} \to \mathsf{M}'$ be simplicial functors (Remark 4.10). A natural transformation $\tau\colon F \to G$ is called a *simplicial natural transformation* if the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{Hom}(X,Y) & \xrightarrow{F} & \mathbf{Hom}(FX,FY) \\ {\scriptstyle G}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle (\mathrm{id},\tau_Y)} \\ \mathbf{Hom}(GX,GY) & \xrightarrow[(\tau_X,\mathrm{id})]{} & \mathbf{Hom}(FX,GY) \end{array} \tag{4.12}$$

of mapping spaces in sSet commutes for every $X, Y \in \mathsf{M}$.

The following proposition provides a useful characterization of simplicial natural transformations.

Proposition 4.13. *Let* M, M' *be simplicial model categories. Let* $F, G\colon \mathsf{M} \to \mathsf{M}'$ *be simplicial functors. A natural transformation* $\tau\colon F \to G$ *is a simplicial natural transformation if and only if it respects the simplicial structure maps; i.e., if and only if the left-hand diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F(X)\dot{\otimes}\Delta[n] & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & F(X\dot{\otimes}\Delta[n]) \\ {\scriptstyle \tau_X\dot{\otimes}\mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{X\dot{\otimes}\Delta[n]}} \\ G(X)\dot{\otimes}\Delta[n] & \xrightarrow[\sigma]{} & G(X\dot{\otimes}\Delta[n]) \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} F(X)\dot{\otimes}K & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & F(X\dot{\otimes}K) \\ {\scriptstyle \tau_X\dot{\otimes}\mathrm{id}}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \tau_{X\dot{\otimes}K}} \\ G(X)\dot{\otimes}K & \xrightarrow[\sigma]{} & G(X\dot{\otimes}K) \end{array} \tag{4.14}$$

in M' *commutes for every* $X \in \mathsf{M}$ *and* $n \geq 0$*, if and only if the right-hand diagram in* M' *commutes for every* $X \in \mathsf{M}$ *and* $K \in \mathsf{sSet}$*. Here, we denote by* σ *the indicated simplicial structure maps.*

Proof. By Goerss-Jardine [25, II.2] there are natural isomorphisms of the form

$$\mathbf{Hom}(X,Y)_n \cong \hom(X\dot{\otimes}\Delta[n], Y),$$

hence if the left-hand diagrams in (4.14) commute, it follows that the diagrams in (4.12) commute. Conversely, suppose the diagrams in (4.12) commute. Taking $Y = X\dot{\otimes}\Delta[n]$ verifies that the left-hand diagrams in (4.14) commute; more generally, taking $Y = X\dot{\otimes}K$ and precomposing (4.12) with the map $K \to \mathbf{Hom}(X, X\dot{\otimes}K)$ (adjoint to the identity map on $X\dot{\otimes}K$) verifies that the right-hand diagrams in (4.14) commute. □

The following proposition is fundamental to this paper.

Proposition 4.15. *Consider the monad $U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}$ on S_* and the comonad $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}U$ on sAb associated to the adjunction $(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}, U)$ in* (4.7)*. The associated natural transformations*

$$\mathrm{id} \xrightarrow{\eta} U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \textit{(unit)}, \qquad \mathrm{id} \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}U \quad \textit{(counit)},$$
$$U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \to U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \quad \textit{(multiplication)}, \qquad \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}U\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}U \xleftarrow{m} \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}U \quad \textit{(comultiplication)}$$

are simplicial natural transformations.

Proof. This is an exercise left to the reader; compare [11, Proof of 3.16]. □

5. Background on homotopy limits of Δ-shaped diagrams

The purpose of this section is to recall some well-known constructions and properties associated to the homotopy limit of Δ-shaped diagrams; the expert may wish to skim through, or skip entirely, this background section.

Basic Assumption 5.1. *From now on in this section, we assume that M is the simplicial model category S_*, sAb, or sSet (see* [25, II.2]*) with tensor product $X \dot{\otimes} K$ in M, mapping space $\mathbf{Hom}(X, X')$ in sSet, and mapping object $\mathbf{hom}(K, X')$ in M; here, $X, X' \in \mathsf{M}$ and $K \in \mathsf{sSet}$.*

Definition 5.2. A cosimplicial object $Z \in \mathsf{M}^\Delta$ in M is *coaugmented* if it comes with a map $d^0 \colon Z^{-1} \to Z^0$ in M such that $d^0 d^0 = d^1 d^0 \colon Z^{-1} \to Z^1$; in this case, it follows easily from the cosimplicial identities ([25, I.1]) that d^0 induces a map $Z^{-1} \to Z$ of Δ-shaped diagrams in M, where Z^{-1} denotes the constant cosimplicial object with value Z^{-1}; i.e., via the inclusion $Z^{-1} \in \mathsf{M} \subset \mathsf{M}^\Delta$ of constant diagrams.

We follow Dror-Dwyer [13] in use of the terms *restricted cosimplicial objects* for Δ_{res}-shaped diagrams, and *restricted simplicial category* Δ_{res} to denote the subcategory of Δ with objects the totally ordered sets $[n]$ for $n \geq 0$ and morphisms the strictly monotone maps of sets $\xi \colon [n] \to [n']$; i.e., such that $k < l$ implies $\xi(k) < \xi(l)$.

Definition 5.3. The *totalization* functor Tot for cosimplicial objects in M and the *restricted totalization* (or fat totalization) functor $\mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}$ for restricted cosimplicial objects in M are defined objectwise by the ends

$$\mathrm{Tot} \colon \mathsf{M}^\Delta \to \mathsf{M}, \qquad X \mapsto \mathbf{hom}(\Delta[-], X)^\Delta$$
$$\mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} \colon \mathsf{M}^{\Delta_{\mathsf{res}}} \to \mathsf{M}, \qquad Y \mapsto \mathbf{hom}(\Delta[-], Y)^{\Delta_{\mathsf{res}}}$$

We often drop the adjective "restricted" and simply refer to both functors as *totalization* functors. It follows from the universal property of ends that $\mathrm{Tot}(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to an equalizer diagram of the form

$$\mathrm{Tot}(X) \cong \lim\Big(\prod_{[n] \in \Delta} \mathbf{hom}(\Delta[n], X^n) \rightrightarrows \prod_{\substack{[n] \to [n'] \\ \text{in } \Delta}} \mathbf{hom}(\Delta[n], X^{n'}) \Big)$$

in M, and similarly for $\mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}(Y)$ by replacing Δ with Δ_{res}. We sometimes refer to the natural maps $\mathrm{Tot}(X) \to \mathbf{hom}(\Delta[n], X^n)$ and $\mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}(Y) \to \mathbf{hom}(\Delta[n], Y^n)$ as the *projection* maps.

Proposition 5.4. *The totalization functors* Tot *and* $\mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}$ *fit into adjunctions*

$$\mathsf{M} \underset{\mathrm{Tot}}{\overset{-\dot{\otimes}\Delta[-]}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{M}^{\Delta}\,, \qquad \mathsf{M} \underset{\mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}}{\overset{-\dot{\otimes}\Delta[-]}{\rightleftarrows}} \mathsf{M}^{\Delta_{\mathsf{res}}} \tag{5.5}$$

with left adjoints on top.

Definition 5.6. Let D be a small category. The *Bousfield-Kan homotopy limit* functor $\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\mathsf{D}}$ for D-shaped diagrams in M is defined objectwise by

$$\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\mathsf{D}}\colon\ \mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{D}}\to\mathsf{M}, \qquad X \mapsto \mathrm{Tot}\ \textstyle\prod^*_{\mathsf{D}} X$$

We will sometimes suppress D from the notation and simply write $\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}$ and $\prod^*$. Here, the cosimplicial replacement functor $\prod^*\colon\ \mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{D}}\to\mathsf{M}^{\Delta}$ is defined objectwise by

$$\textstyle\prod^n X := \prod_{\substack{a_0\to\cdots\to a_n\\ \text{in } \mathsf{D}}} X(a_n)$$

with the obvious coface d^i and codegeneracy maps s^j.

The simplicial category Δ has a natural filtration by its truncated subcategories $\Delta^{\leq n}$ of the form

$$\emptyset \subset \Delta^{\leq 0} \subset \Delta^{\leq 1} \subset \cdots \subset \Delta^{\leq n} \subset \Delta^{\leq n+1} \subset \cdots \subset \Delta$$

where $\Delta^{\leq n} \subset \Delta$ denotes the full subcategory of objects $[m]$ such that $m \leq n$; we use the convention that $\Delta^{\leq -1} = \emptyset$ is the empty category. This leads to the following $\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}$ tower of a Δ-shaped diagram in M.

Proposition 5.7. *If* $X \in \mathsf{M}^{\Delta}$*, then* $\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta} X$ *is naturally isomorphic to a limit of the form*

$$\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta} X \cong \lim\big(*\leftarrow \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta^{\leq 0}} X \leftarrow \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta^{\leq 1}} X \leftarrow \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta^{\leq 2}} X \leftarrow \cdots\big)$$

in M*; here, it may be helpful to note that*

$$\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta^{\leq -1}} X = *, \qquad \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta^{\leq 0}} X \cong X^0.$$

Proposition 5.8. *Let* D *be a small category.*

(a) *If* $\mathsf{D}' \subset \mathsf{D}$ *is a subcategory and* X *in* M^{D} *is objectwise fibrant, then the natural map* $\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\mathsf{D}'} X \leftarrow \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\mathsf{D}} X$ *in* M *is a fibration.*

(b) *If* X *in* M^{Δ} *is objectwise fibrant, then the tower* $\{\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta^{\leq s}} X\}_{s\geq -1}$ *is a tower of fibrations, and the natural map*

$$\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta} X \to \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta^{\leq s}} X$$

is a fibration for each $s \geq -1$*; in particular,* $\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta} X$ *is fibrant.*

(b) *If* $X \to X'$ *in* M^{Δ} *is a weak equivalence between objectwise fibrant objects, then* $\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta} X \to \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta} X'$ *is a weak equivalence.*

The following proposition is proved in Dror-Dwyer [13, 3.17].

Proposition 5.9. *The inclusion of categories* $\Delta_{\mathsf{res}} \subset \Delta$ *is left cofinal (i.e., homotopy initial).*

Proposition 5.10. *If* $X \in \mathsf{M}^{\Delta}$ *is objectwise fibrant, then the natural maps*

$$\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta} X \xrightarrow{\ \simeq\ } \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta_{\mathsf{res}}} X \xleftarrow{\ \simeq\ } \mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} X$$

in M *are weak equivalences.*

Proof. This follows from left cofinality (Proposition 5.9) and Bousfield-Kan [8] in the context of spaces, and exactly the same argument verifies it in our context; see also, Goerss-Jardine [25]. □

Proposition 5.11.

(a) *If* $Y \in \mathsf{M}^{\Delta_{\mathsf{res}}}$ *is objectwise fibrant, then* $\mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}(Y)$ *is fibrant.*
(b) *If* $Y \to Y'$ *in* $\mathsf{M}^{\Delta_{\mathsf{res}}}$ *is a weak equivalence between objectwise fibrant diagrams, then* $\mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}(Y) \to \mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}(Y')$ *is a weak equivalence.*

Definition 5.12. Let D be a small category. The $\mathrm{holim}_{\mathsf{D}}$ functor for D-shaped diagrams in M is defined objectwise by

$$\mathrm{holim}_{\mathsf{D}} \colon \mathsf{M}^{\mathsf{D}} \to \mathsf{M}, \qquad X \mapsto \mathrm{holim}_{\mathsf{D}}^{\mathsf{BK}} X^f$$

where X^f denotes a functorial fibrant replacement of X in M^{D} with respect to the projective model structure on D-shaped diagrams induced from M.

6. The homotopy theory of K-coalgebras

The purpose of this section is to setup, in the context needed for this paper, the homotopy theory of K-coalgebras originally developed in Arone-Ching [1]; the setup needed follows closely the setup in [11], but is considerably simpler here since every object in S_* is cofibrant and every object in sAb is fibrant in the underlying category S_*.

Definition 6.1. A morphism in $\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}$ is a *cofibration* if the underlying morphism in sAb is a cofibration. An object Y in $\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}$ is *cofibrant* if the unique map $\emptyset \to Y$ in $\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}$ is a cofibration.

Remark 6.2. In $\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}$ the initial object $\emptyset$ and the terminal object $*$ are isomorphic; here, the terminal object is the trivial K-coalgebra with underlying object 0.

Recall that a morphism of K-coalgebras from Y to Y' is a map $f \colon Y \to Y'$ in sAb that makes the diagram

(6.3)
$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{m} & \mathsf{K}Y \\ {\scriptstyle f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id}f} \\ Y' & \xrightarrow[m]{} & \mathsf{K}Y' \end{array}$$

in sAb commute. This motivates the following cosimplicial resolution of K-coalgebra maps from Y to Y'.

Definition 6.4. Let Y, Y' be cofibrant K-coalgebras. The cosimplicial object $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y')$ in sSet looks like (showing only the coface maps)

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, Y') \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, \mathsf{K}Y') \;\substack{\rightarrow\\ \rightarrow\\ \rightarrow}\; \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, \mathsf{K}\mathsf{K}Y') \cdots \tag{6.5}$$

and is defined objectwise by $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y')^n := \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, \mathsf{K}^n Y')$ with the obvious coface and codegeneracy maps induced by the comultiplication and coaction maps, and counit map, respectively; see [1, 1.3].

Recall the usual notion of realization of a simplicial set, regarded as taking values in the category of compactly generated Hausdorff spaces, denoted CGHaus (e.g., Goerss-Jardine [25]).

Definition 6.6. The *realization* functor $|-|$ for simplicial sets is defined objectwise by the coend

$$|-|\colon \mathsf{sSet}\to\mathsf{CGHaus}, \qquad X \mapsto X \times_{\Delta} \Delta^{(-)}$$

Here, Δ^n in CGHaus denotes the topological standard n-simplex for each $n \geq 0$ (see Goerss-Jardine [25, I.1.1]).

Definition 6.7. Let X, Y be pointed spaces. The mapping space $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, Y)$ in CGHaus is defined by realization

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, Y) := |\,\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, Y)|$$

of the indicated simplicial set.

The following definition of the mapping space of derived K-coalgebra maps appears in Arone-Ching [1, 1.10] and is a key ingredient in both the statements and proofs of our main results.

Definition 6.8. Let Y, Y' be cofibrant K-coalgebras. The *mapping spaces* of derived K-coalgebra maps $\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(Y, Y')$ in sSet and $\mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(Y, Y')$ in CGHaus are defined by the restricted totalizations

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(Y, Y') := \mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}\,\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^{\bullet}Y'\big)$$
$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(Y, Y') := \mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}\,\mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^{\bullet}Y'\big)$$

of the indicated cosimplicial objects.

Remark 6.9. To help understand why this is an appropriate notion of the space of derived K-coalgebra maps in our setting, note that there are natural isomorphisms and natural zigzags of weak equivalences

$$\hom_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(Y, Y') \cong \lim_{\Delta} \hom_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^{\bullet}Y'\big),$$
$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(Y, Y') \simeq \operatorname*{holim}_{\Delta} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^{\bullet}Y'\big),$$

respectively.

Recall the following useful propositions.

Proposition 6.10. *If $Y \in (\mathsf{sSet})^{\Delta_{\mathsf{res}}}$ and $Z \in (\mathsf{sSet})^{\Delta}$ are objectwise fibrant, then the natural maps*

$$|\,\mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}\,Y| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}\,|Y|$$
$$|\,\mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta}\,Z| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{holim}^{\mathsf{BK}}_{\Delta}\,|Z|$$

in CGHaus are weak equivalences.

Proof. This is proved in [11]. □

The following corollary plays a key role in this paper.

Proposition 6.11. *Let Y, Y' be cofibrant K-coalgebras. Then the natural map*

$$|\,\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(Y, Y')| \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(Y, Y')$$

is a weak equivalence.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 6.10. □

The following provides a useful language for working with the spaces of derived K-coalgebra maps; compare [1, 1.11].

Definition 6.12. Let Y, Y' be cofibrant K-coalgebras. A *derived* K*-coalgebra map* f of the form $Y \to Y'$ is any map in $(\mathsf{sSet})^{\Delta_{\mathsf{res}}}$ of the form

$$f\colon \Delta[-] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^{\bullet} Y'\big).$$

A *topological derived* K*-coalgebra map* g of the form $Y \to Y'$ is any map in $(\mathsf{CGHaus})^{\Delta_{\mathsf{res}}}$ of the form

$$g\colon \Delta^{\bullet} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^{\bullet} Y'\big).$$

The *underlying map* of a derived K-coalgebra map f is the map $f_0\colon Y \to Y'$ that corresponds to the map $f_0\colon \Delta[0] \to \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, Y')$. Note that every derived K-coalgebra map f determines a topological derived K-coalgebra map $|f|$ by realization.

Remark 6.13. If $X, Y \in (\mathsf{sSet})^{\Delta}$ their *box product* $X \square Y \in (\mathsf{sSet})^{\Delta}$ is the left Kan extension of objectwise product along ordinal sum (or concatenation)

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Delta \times \Delta & \xrightarrow{X \times Y} & \mathsf{sSet} \times \mathsf{sSet} & \xrightarrow{\times} & \mathsf{sSet} \\ \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \amalg} & & & & \\ \Delta & & \xrightarrow[\text{left Kan extension}]{X \square Y} & & \mathsf{sSet} \end{array} \tag{6.14}$$

and if $X, Y \in (\mathsf{CGHaus})^{\Delta}$ their box product $X \square Y \in (\mathsf{CGHaus})^{\Delta}$ is defined similarly by replacing sSet with CGHaus in (6.14). In particular, $(X \square Y)^0 \cong X^0 \times Y^0$; for an explicit calculation of $(X \square Y)^n$ and further discussion, see Arone-Ching [1], Batanin [3], and McClure-Smith [40, 41]; a dual version of the construction appears in Artin-Mazur [2].

Proposition 6.15. *Let* Y, Y', Y'' *be cofibrant* K*-coalgebras. There is a natural map of the form*

$$\mu\colon \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^{\bullet} Y'\big) \square \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y', \mathsf{K}^{\bullet} Y''\big) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^{\bullet} Y''\big)$$

in $(\mathsf{sSet})^{\Delta}$. *We sometimes refer to* μ *as the* composition *map.*

Proof. This is proved exactly as in [1, 1.6]; μ is the map induced by the collection of composites

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^{p} Y'\big) \times \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y', \mathsf{K}^{q} Y''\big) \\ \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{id} \times \mathsf{K}^{p}} \\ \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^{p} Y'\big) \times \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(\mathsf{K}^{p} Y', \mathsf{K}^{p} \mathsf{K}^{q} Y''\big) \\ \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle \mathrm{comp}} \\ \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^{p+q} Y''\big) \end{array}$$

where $p, q \geq 0$. □

Proposition 6.16. *Let* $A, B \in (\mathsf{sSet})^{\Delta}$. *There is a natural isomorphism of the form* $|A \square B| \cong |A| \square |B|$ *in* $(\mathsf{CGHaus})^{\Delta}$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that realization commutes with finite products and all small colimits. □

Proposition 6.17. *Let Y, Y', Y'' be cofibrant K-coalgebras. There is a natural map of the form*

$$\mu\colon \operatorname{Map}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y'\big)\Box \operatorname{Map}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y', \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y''\big) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Map}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(Y, \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y''\big)$$

in $(\mathsf{CGHaus})^\Delta$. *We sometimes refer to μ as the* composition *map.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 6.15 by applying realization, together with Proposition 6.16. □

Proposition 6.18. *Let Y be a cofibrant K-coalgebra. There is a coaugmentation map $*\to \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y)$ of the form (showing only the coface maps)*

$$* \xrightarrow{d^0} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, Y) \underset{d^1}{\overset{d^0}{\rightrightarrows}} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, \mathsf{K}Y) \cdots \tag{6.19}$$

Here, the left-hand map picks out the identity map $Y \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} Y$ in simplicial degree 0.

Proof. It suffices to observe that $d^0 d^0 = d^1 d^0$. □

Definition 6.20. Let Y be a cofibrant K-coalgebra. The *unit map* ι (compare, [1, 1.6]) is the map

$$* \to \operatorname{Map}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(Y, \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y) \tag{6.21}$$

in $(\mathsf{CGHaus})^\Delta$ which is realization of the coaugmentation in (6.19).

Definition 6.22. The non-Σ operad A in CGHaus is the coendomorphism operad of $\Delta^\bullet$ with respect to the box product $\Box$ ([1, 1.12]) and is defined objectwise by the end construction

$$\mathsf{A}(n) := \operatorname{Map}_{\Delta_{\mathsf{res}}}\big(\Delta^\bullet, (\Delta^\bullet)^{\Box n}\big) := \operatorname{Map}\big(\Delta^\bullet, (\Delta^\bullet)^{\Box n}\big)^{\Delta_{\mathsf{res}}}$$

In other words, $\mathsf{A}(n)$ is the space of restricted cosimplicial maps from $\Delta^\bullet$ to $(\Delta^\bullet)^{\Box n}$; in particular, note that $\mathsf{A}(0) = *$.

Consider the natural collection of maps ([1, 1.13])

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{A}(n) \times \operatorname{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_\mathsf{K}}(Y_0, Y_1) \times \cdots \times \operatorname{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_\mathsf{K}}(Y_{n-1}, Y_n)& \\ \longrightarrow \operatorname{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_\mathsf{K}}(Y_0, Y_n), \qquad n \geq 0,&\end{aligned} \tag{6.23}$$

induced by (iterations of) the composition map μ (Proposition 6.17); in particular, in the case $n = 0$, note that (6.23) denotes the map

$$* = \mathsf{A}(0) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_\mathsf{K}}(Y_0, Y_0), \qquad n = 0,$$

that is $\mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}$ applied to the unit map (6.21).

Proposition 6.24. *The collection of maps* (6.23) *determine a topological A_∞ category with objects the cofibrant K-coalgebras and morphism spaces the mapping spaces* $\operatorname{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_\mathsf{K}}(Y, Y')$.

Proof. This is proved exactly as in [1, 1.14]. □

Definition 6.25. The *homotopy category* of K-coalgebras (compare, [1, 1.15]), denoted $\mathsf{Ho}(\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}})$, is the category with objects the cofibrant K-coalgebras and morphism sets $[Y, Y']_{\mathsf{K}}$ from Y to Y' the path components

$$[Y, Y']_{\mathsf{K}} := \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(Y, Y')$$

of the indicated mapping spaces.

Proposition 6.26. *Let Y, Y' be cofibrant K-coalgebras. There is a natural map of morphism sets of the form*

$$\hom_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(Y, Y') \to [Y, Y']_{\mathsf{K}} \tag{6.27}$$

Proposition 6.28. *There is a well-defined functor*

$$\gamma\colon \mathsf{coAlg}_K^{\mathrm{c}} \to \mathsf{Ho}(\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}})$$

that is the identity on objects and is the map (6.27) *on morphisms; here,* $\mathsf{coAlg}_K^{\mathrm{c}} \subset \mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}$ *denotes the full subcategory of cofibrant* K*-coalgebras.*

Proof. This is proved exactly as in [1, 1.14]. □

Definition 6.29. A derived K-coalgebra map f of the form $Y \to Y'$ is a *weak equivalence* if the underlying map $f_0\colon Y \to Y'$ is a weak equivalence.

Proposition 6.30. *Let Y, Y' be cofibrant K-coalgebras. A derived K-coalgebra map f of the form $Y \to Y'$ is a weak equivalence if and only if the induced map $\gamma(f)$ in $[Y, Y']_{\mathsf{K}}$ is an isomorphism in the homotopy category of K-coalgebras.*

Proof. The is proved exactly as in [1, 1.16]. □

7. The derived fundamental adjunction

The derived unit is the map of pointed spaces of the form $X \to \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X)$ corresponding to the identity map $\mathrm{id}\colon \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X \to \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X$; it is tautologically the Bousfield-Kan $\mathbb{Z}$-completion map $X \to X^{\wedge}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ in [8].

The derived counit is the derived K-coalgebra map of the form $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y) \to Y$ corresponding to the identity map $\mathrm{id}\colon \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y) \to \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y)$, after taking into account the natural zigzags of weak equivalences

$$\operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y) \simeq \operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} C(Y)$$

of pointed spaces.

Definition 7.1. The *derived counit map* associated to the fundamental adjunction (1.9) is the derived K-coalgebra map of the form $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y) \to Y$ with underlying map

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} C(Y) \longrightarrow Y \tag{7.2}$$

corresponding to the identity map

$$\mathrm{id}\colon \operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} C(Y) \to \operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} C(Y) \tag{7.3}$$

in S_*, via the adjunctions (5.5) and (4.5). In more detail, the derived counit map is the derived K-coalgebra map defined by the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta[-] &\xrightarrow{(*)} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}\big(\operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} C(Y), C(Y)\big) \\ &\cong \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}} \operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} C(Y), \mathsf{K}^{\bullet} Y\big) \end{aligned} \tag{7.4}$$

in $(\mathsf{sSet})^{\Delta_{\mathsf{res}}}$, where $(*)$ corresponds to the identity map (7.3) in S_*, via the adjunctions (5.5) and (4.5).

Proposition 7.5. *Let M, M' be simplicial model categories. Let $F\colon \mathsf{M}\to\mathsf{M}'$ be a simplicial functor and X a cosimplicial (resp. restricted cosimplicial) object in M. There is a natural map of the form*

$$F\operatorname{Tot}(X)\to \operatorname{Tot}(FX), \qquad \Big(\textit{resp.}\quad F\operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}(X)\to \operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}(FX),\Big)$$

in M' induced by the simplicial structure maps of F.

Proof. In both cases, the indicated map is induced by the composite maps

$$F\big(\mathbf{hom}(\Delta[n], X^n)\big)\dot\otimes\Delta[n] \xrightarrow{\sigma} F\big(\mathbf{hom}(\Delta[n], X^n)\dot\otimes\Delta[n]\big) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id(ev)}} F(X^n), \qquad n\geq 0,$$

via the natural isomorphisms in (4.5). □

Consider the collection of maps

$$\Delta[n] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} C(Y), \mathsf{K}^n Y\big), \qquad n\geq 0, \tag{7.6}$$

in sSet described in (7.4) associated to the derived counit map.

Proposition 7.7. *The maps in* (7.6) *correspond with the maps*

$$\big(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} C(Y)\big)\dot\otimes\Delta[n] \longrightarrow \mathsf{K}^n Y, \qquad n\geq 0, \tag{7.8}$$

in sAb, defined by the composite

$$\begin{aligned}\big(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} C(Y)\big)\dot\otimes\Delta[n] &\to \big(\operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}C(Y)\big)\dot\otimes\Delta[n]\\ &\xrightarrow{\mathrm{proj}} \mathsf{K}(\mathsf{K})^n Y \xrightarrow{\varepsilon(\mathrm{id})^n \mathrm{id}=s^{-1}} \mathrm{id}(\mathsf{K})^n Y = \mathsf{K}^n Y\end{aligned}$$

where proj *denotes the indicated projection map; here, it may be helpful to note that $\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}C(Y) = \operatorname{Cobar}(\mathsf{K}, \mathsf{K}, Y)$.*

Proof. This follows from the adjunction isomorphisms. □

Proposition 7.9. *Let X, X' be pointed spaces. There are natural morphisms of mapping spaces of the form*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\colon\ \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, X')&\to \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X'),\\ \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\colon\ \operatorname{Map}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, X')&\to \operatorname{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X'),\end{aligned}$$

in sSet and CGHaus, respectively.

Proof. The first map is the composite

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, X') &\to \operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, X')\\ &\xrightarrow{(*)} \operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}}\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}\big(X, C(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X')\big) \cong \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X')\end{aligned}$$

Here, the map $(*)$ is induced by the natural coaugmentation $X'\to C(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X')$ in $(\mathsf{S}_*)^{\Delta}$ and the second map follows by applying realization and using Proposition 6.10. □

Proposition 7.10. *There is an induced functor*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\colon\ \mathsf{Ho}(\mathsf{S}_*)\to\mathsf{Ho}(\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}})$$

which on objects is the map $X\mapsto\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X$ and on morphisms is the map

$$[X, X'] \longrightarrow [\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X']_K$$

which sends $[f]$ *to* $[\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(f)]$ *obtained by taking path components.*

Proof. This follows from Proposition 7.9. □

The following three propositions are fundamental to this paper; they verify that the cosimplicial resolutions of K-coalgebra mapping spaces respect the adjunction isomorphisms associated to the $(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}, U)$ adjunction (Proposition 7.14).

Proposition 7.11. *Let* $X \in \mathsf{S}_*$ *and* $Y \in \mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}$. *The adjunction isomorphisms associated to the* $(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}, U)$ *adjunction induce well-defined isomorphisms*

$$\hom_{\mathsf{sAb}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \hom_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, U\mathsf{K}^\bullet Y)$$

of Δ*-shaped diagrams in* Set*, natural in* X, Y.

Proof. This is an exercise left to the reader. □

Proposition 7.12. *If* $Y \in \mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}$ *with comultiplication map* $m\colon Y \to \mathsf{K}Y$ *and* $L \in \mathsf{sSet}$*, then* $Y \dot{\otimes} L$ *in* sAb *has a natural* K*-coalgebra structure with comultiplication map* $m\colon Y\dot{\otimes}L \to \mathsf{K}(Y\dot{\otimes}L)$ *given by the composite*

$$Y\dot{\otimes}L \xrightarrow{m\dot{\otimes}\mathrm{id}} \mathsf{K}(Y)\dot{\otimes}L \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathsf{K}(Y\dot{\otimes}L)$$

Proof. This is an exercise left to the reader. □

Proposition 7.13. *Let* $X \in \mathsf{S}_*$ *and* $Y \in \mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}$. *The natural isomorphism* $\sigma\colon \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X)\dot{\otimes}L \to \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X\dot{\otimes}L)$ *induces well-defined isomorphisms*

$$\hom_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X\dot{\otimes}L), \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y\big) \xrightarrow[\cong]{(\sigma,\mathrm{id})} \hom_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X)\dot{\otimes}L, \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y\big)$$

of Δ*-shaped diagrams in* Set*, natural in* X, Y.

Proof. This is an exercise left to the reader. □

Proposition 7.14. *Let* $X \in \mathsf{S}_*$ *and* $Y \in \mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}$. *The adjunction isomorphisms associated to the* $(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}, U)$ *adjunction induce well-defined isomorphisms*

$$\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, U\mathsf{K}^\bullet Y)$$

of Δ*-shaped diagrams in* sSet*, natural in* X, Y.

Proof. It suffices to verify that the composite

$$\hom(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X)\dot{\otimes}\Delta[n], \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y) \cong \hom(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(X\dot{\otimes}\Delta[n]), \mathsf{K}^\bullet Y) \cong \hom(X\dot{\otimes}\Delta[n], U\mathsf{K}^\bullet Y)$$

is a well-defined map of cosimplicial objects in Set, natural in X, Y, for each $n \geq 0$; this follows from Propositions 7.11 and 7.13. □

Proposition 7.15. *If* X *is a pointed space, then there is a zigzag of weak equivalences of the form*

$$X^\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}} \simeq \operatorname{holim}_\Delta C(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X) \simeq \operatorname{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} C(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X)$$

in S_**, natural with respect to all such* X.

Definition 7.16. A pointed space X is $\mathbb{Z}$*-complete* if the natural coaugmentation $X \simeq X^\wedge_{\mathbb{Z}}$ is a weak equivalence.

Proposition 7.17. *There are natural zigzags of weak equivalences of the form*

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, Y) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y))$$

in CGHaus*; applying* π_0 *gives the natural isomorphism* $[\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, Y]_{\mathsf{K}} \cong [X, \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y)]$.

Proof. There are natural zigzags of weak equivalences of the form

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(Y)) &\simeq \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}\big(X, \mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} C(Y)\big)\\ &\cong \mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{S}_*}\big(X, U\mathsf{K}^{\bullet}Y\big)\\ &\cong \mathrm{Tot}^{\mathsf{res}} \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{sAb}}\big(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, \mathsf{K}^{\bullet}Y\big)\\ &= \mathbf{Hom}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, Y)\end{aligned}$$

in sSet; applying realization, together with Proposition 6.10 finishes the proof. □

The following amounts to the observation that mapping into fibrant $\mathbb{Z}$-complete objects induces the indicated weak equivalence on mapping spaces; compare Hess [29, 5.5] and Arone-Ching [1, 2.15]. It shows that the integral chains functor in the fundamental adjunction is homotopically fully faithful on $\mathbb{Z}$-complete spaces.

Proposition 7.18. *Let* X, X' *be pointed spaces. If* X' *is* $\mathbb{Z}$*-complete and fibrant, then there is a natural zigzag*

$$\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}\colon\ \mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, X') \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X')$$

of weak equivalences; applying π_0 *gives the map* $[f] \mapsto [\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}(f)]$.

Proof. This follows from the natural zigzags

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, X') &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, {X'}^{\wedge}_{\mathbb{Z}})\\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{S}_*}(X, \operatorname{holim}_{\Delta} C(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X') \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathsf{coAlg}_{\mathsf{K}}}(\tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X, \tilde{\mathbb{Z}}X')\end{aligned}$$

of weak equivalences. □

Remark 7.19. For the convenience of the reader, we will further elaborate on the connection between the maps appearing in the proof of Theorem 1.8 and the derived counit map. Consider the following commutative diagram



where the arrow (#) is defined by the indicated composition; here, the top horizontal maps are the maps in (2.9). By Proposition 7.7, the map underlying the derived counit map (7.2) is precisely the map (#). The maps (∗) are weak equivalences by Proposition 5.10. Hence to verify that the map (#) underlying the derived counit map is a weak equivalence, it suffices to verify that $(*)'$ and $(*)''$ are weak equivalences; this is verified in the proof of Theorem 1.8. This reduction argument can be thought of as a homotopical Barr-Beck comonadicity theorem; see Arone-Ching [1, 2.20].

References

[1] G. Arone and M. Ching. A classification of Taylor towers of functors of spaces and spectra. *Adv. Math.*, 272:471–552, 2015.

[2] M. Artin and B. Mazur. On the van Kampen theorem. *Topology*, 5:179–189, 1966.

[3] M. A. Batanin. Coherent categories with respect to monads and coherent prohomotopy theory. *Cahiers Topologie Géom. Différentielle Catég.*, 34(4):279–304, 1993.

[4] M. Bendersky, E. B. Curtis, and H. R. Miller. The unstable Adams spectral sequence for generalized homology. *Topology*, 17(3):229–248, 1978.

[5] M. Bendersky and R. D. Thompson. The Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence for periodic homology theories. *Amer. J. Math.*, 122(3):599–635, 2000.

[6] A. K. Bousfield. The localization of spaces with respect to homology. *Topology*, 14:133–150, 1975.

[7] A. K. Bousfield and V. K. A. M. Gugenheim. On PL de Rham theory and rational homotopy type. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(179):ix+94, 1976.

[8] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan. *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.

[9] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan. The homotopy spectral sequence of a space with coefficients in a ring. *Topology*, 11:79–106, 1972.

[10] G. Carlsson. Derived completions in stable homotopy theory. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 212(3):550–577, 2008.

[11] M. Ching and J. E. Harper. Derived Koszul duality and TQ-homology completion of structured ring spectra. `arXiv:1502.06944 [math.AT]`, 2015.

[12] M. Ching and J. E. Harper. Higher homotopy excision and Blakers-Massey theorems for structured ring spectra. *Adv. Math.*, 298:654–692, 2016.

[13] E. Dror and W. G. Dwyer. A long homology localization tower. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 52(2):185–210, 1977.

[14] D. Dugger. A primer on homotopy colmits. *Preprint*, 2008. Available at `http://pages.uoregon.edu/ddugger/`.

[15] B. I. Dundas. Relative K-theory and topological cyclic homology. *Acta Math.*, 179(2):223–242, 1997.

[16] B. I. Dundas, T. G. Goodwillie, and R. McCarthy. *The local structure of algebraic K-theory*, volume 18 of *Algebra and Applications*. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2013.

[17] W. G. Dwyer. Strong convergence of the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence. *Topology*, 13:255–265, 1974.

[18] W. G. Dwyer. Localizations. In *Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory*, volume 131 of *NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem.*, pages 3–28. Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004.

[19] W. G. Dwyer and H. Henn. *Homotopy theoretic methods in group cohomology*. Advanced Courses in Mathematics. CRM Barcelona. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2001.

[20] W. G. Dwyer and M. J. Hopkins. unpublished work. 1992.

[21] W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan. Function complexes in homotopical algebra. *Topology*, 19(4):427–440, 1980.

[22] W. G. Dwyer, H. R. Miller, and J. Neisendorfer. Fibrewise completion and unstable Adams spectral sequences. *Israel J. Math.*, 66(1-3):160–178, 1989.

[23] J. Francis and D. Gaitsgory. Chiral Koszul duality. *Selecta Math. (N.S.)*, 18(1):27–87, 2012.

[24] P. G. Goerss. Simplicial chains over a field and p-local homotopy theory. *Math. Z.*, 220(4):523–544, 1995.

[25] P. G. Goerss and J. F. Jardine. *Simplicial homotopy theory*, volume 174 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1999.
[26] T. G. Goodwillie. Calculus. II. Analytic functors. *K-Theory*, 5(4):295–332, 1991/92.
[27] T. G. Goodwillie. Calculus. III. Taylor series. *Geom. Topol.*, 7:645–711 (electronic), 2003.
[28] V. K. A. M. Gugenheim and J. P. May. *On the theory and applications of differential torsion products*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1974. Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 142.
[29] K. Hess. A general framework for homotopic descent and codescent. `arXiv:1001.1556v3 [math.AT]`, 2010.
[30] P. Hilton, G. Mislin, and J. Roitberg. *Localization of nilpotent groups and spaces*. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam-Oxford; American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1975. North-Holland Mathematics Studies, No. 15, Notas de Matemática, No. 55. [Notes on Mathematics, No. 55].
[31] P. S. Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*, volume 99 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
[32] M. J. Hopkins. Formulations of cocategory and the iterated suspension. In *Algebraic homotopy and local algebra (Luminy, 1982)*, volume 113 of *Astérisque*, pages 212–226. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1984.
[33] M. Hovey. *Model categories*, volume 63 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
[34] M. Karoubi. Cochaînes quasi-commutatives en topologie algébrique. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 5(1):1–68, 2009.
[35] I. Kriz. p-adic homotopy theory. *Topology Appl.*, 52(3):279–308, 1993.
[36] M. A. Mandell. E_∞ algebras and p-adic homotopy theory. *Topology*, 40(1):43–94, 2001.
[37] M. A. Mandell. Cochains and homotopy type. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (103):213–246, 2006.
[38] J. P. May. Classifying spaces and fibrations. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 1(1, 155):xiii+98, 1975.
[39] J. P. May and K. Ponto. *More concise algebraic topology*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 2012. Localization, completion, and model categories.
[40] J. E. McClure and J. H. Smith. A solution of Deligne's Hochschild cohomology conjecture. In *Recent progress in homotopy theory (Baltimore, MD, 2000)*, volume 293 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 153–193. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
[41] J. E. McClure and J. H. Smith. Cosimplicial objects and little n-cubes. I. *Amer. J. Math.*, 126(5):1109–1153, 2004.
[42] H. R. Miller. The Sullivan conjecture on maps from classifying spaces. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 120(1):39–87, 1984. Correction: *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 121(3):605-609, 1985.
[43] B. A. Munson and I. Volić. *Cubical homotopy theory*, volume 25 of *New Mathematical Monographs*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2015.
[44] D. Quillen. Rational homotopy theory. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 90:205–295, 1969.
[45] D. P. Sinha. The topology of spaces of knots: cosimplicial models. *Amer. J. Math.*, 131(4):945–980, 2009.
[46] V. A. Smirnov. Homotopy theory of coalgebras. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 49(6):1302–1321, 1343, 1985.
[47] D. Sullivan. *Geometric topology. Part I*. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Mass., 1971. Localization, periodicity, and Galois symmetry, Revised version.
[48] D. Sullivan. Genetics of homotopy theory and the Adams conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 100:1–79, 1974.
[49] D. Sullivan. Infinitesimal computations in topology. *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.*, (47):269–331 (1978), 1977.

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, 231 West 18th Ave, Columbus, OH 43210, USA
E-mail address: `blomquist.9@osu.edu`

Department of Mathematics, The Ohio State University, Newark, 1179 University Dr, Newark, OH 43055, USA
E-mail address: `harper.903@math.osu.edu`